

BIA Barnett Christie Lecture scoring criteria

Score	Evidence of substantial body of independent research	Quality, novelty & impact	Quality of lecture proposal	Career trajectory
5	Very substantial body of work including many first author peer-reviewed primary research publications	Highly robust research techniques and findings. Entirely novel. Demonstrated global impact e.g. on policy, industry, public health	Extremely well written. Definitely of broad interest to FIS audience	Clear evidence of top rate clinical academic career direction (e.g. awards, prizes, independent funding)
4	Substantial body of work including multiple first-author peer-reviewed primary research papers	Strong research quality. Mostly novel. Demonstrated national impact with possible global impact.	Well written. Likely to be of broad interest to FIS audience	Strong progression towards independent clinical academic career (e.g. appointed to competitive position, clearly working to develop own research interests)
3	Above average body of work including at least one first-author publication	Above average research quality. Some novelty. Local and possible national impact.	Above average proposal. Likely to be interesting to part of FIS audience	Reasonable evidence of research trajectory, continuing to conduct research with plan for future
2	Below average body of work for post-doctoral researcher	Below average research quality. Little novelty. Some local impact.	Below average proposal. Moderate interest by part of FIS audience	Evidence of continued research activity
1	Completed or near-completed research degree but no other research outputs	Significant concerns about research methodology or findings. No novelty. Limited impact.	Proposal not well written. Unlikely to be of interest to most FIS delegates	Not currently undertaking any research